|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Criterion*** | **Good** | **Average** | **Poor** |
| **Purpose & Audience** | Student understands the document’s purpose; addresses audience’s needs and expectations for the documentStudent demonstrates a clear understanding of the assignment and its major aspects; critical thinking of the assignment and its major concerns is evident | Student generally understands the document’s purpose; mostly addresses audience’s needs and expectations for the documentStudent has a general grasp of the assignment and its major aspects; critical thinking of its major concerns is mostly evident | Student misunderstands the document’s purpose; does not addresses audience’s needs and expectations for the documentStudent has a poor grasp of the case and its major aspects; document does not demonstrate sufficient levels of critical thinking of its major problems/concerns |
| **Content & Organization of Ideas**  | Contents are organized in a logical wayStudent synthesizes information into a coherent, plausible analysis Document contains factual support for all recommendations, claims, or arguments | Contents are mostly logically organized aside from a few minor logical missteps/fallaciesStudent mostly synthesizes information into a coherent, plausible analysis Document contains factual support for most recommendations, claims, or arguments | Contents lack logical organization and contain several logical missteps/fallaciesStudent generally fails to synthesize information into a coherent, plausible analysis Document lacks factual support for its recommendations, claims, or arguments  |
| **Professionalism & Style** | Student uses a professional yet conversational tone; no slang, jokes, or unnecessary jargonStudent demonstrates an appropriate level of cultural/global sensibility and awarenessStyle is appropriate yet engaging; uses concise phrasing and precise language | Student mostly uses a professional yet conversational tone; only one or two instances of slang, jokes, or unnecessary jargonStudent demonstrates a general level of cultural/global sensibility and awarenessStyle is appropriate but not as engaging as could be; some verbose phrasing or imprecise language | Student uses an unprofessional, chatty, or overly formal tone; many instances of slang, jokes, or unnecessary jargonStudent lacks sufficient level of cultural/global sensibility and awarenessStyle is inappropriate and/or dull; abundant verbose phrasing or imprecise language |
| **Grammar & Punctuation** | Document contains no spelling, grammar, punctuation, or word choice errors In short, the writer’s credibility would increase because of the document’s precision and freedom of errors; the average reader could scan the document quickly and still understand its contents | Document may contain some minor errors, but not enough to distract the average reader In short, the writer’s credibility would not be harmed because of these minor errors; the average reader would have no trouble understanding contents on the first read-through | Document contains errors that would distract the average reader *or* make comprehension difficult for the average readerIn short, the writer’s credibility would diminish because of the multitude of errors and difficulty readers have with the document |
| **Genre conventions & Format** | Document perfectly follows the format given in the assignment prompt*If required:*Contains a reference page *and* all sources are cited correctly per the formatting guidelines given in the assignment prompt; contains correct parenthetical citations or footnotes | Document mostly follows the format given in the assignment prompt*If required:*Contains a reference page *and* all sources are cited; contains parenthetical citations or footnotes but may be incorrectly created or may not follow the guidelines given in the assignment prompt | Document fails to follow the format given in the assignment prompt*If required:*Lacks a reference page *or* fails to cite secondary sources; lacks parenthetical citations or footnotes |